


Molokai Advertiser-News

Volume 27 Number 3 The Militia of Molokai's Community Newspaper—Every Wednesday January 19, 2011

Obama Refuses to show his birth Certificate, but he wants you to “show your papers” with federal I.D. Act

Under the directive of the Obama's Department of Homeland Security, with the cooperation of Hawaii governor Neil Abercrombie, Hawaii residents will be required to federalize their driver's licenses, effectively converting what was a uniquely, "local" state drivers' license into what amounts to a "National ID Card"--or, as some opponents to the concept during the Clinton years called it, "a United States Internal Passport."



The REAL ID Act implementation will make it much easier for Obama's federal goons to track every American---which is the purpose for it under the REAL ID Act. This is an outright invasion of your privacy. The law takes effect in May, 2011. At that time, Hawaii and every other State will be required to create a drivers' license that conforms to new federal "standards."

Before a REAL ID can be issued, the applicant must provide the following documentation: A photo ID with full legal name and birth date documentation; Documentation of legal status and Social Security number; Documentation of principal residence address. HEY! Obama: Show us YOUR Birth Certificate !!

In short: REAL I.D. allows the government to convert your state issued drivers' license into a REAL Nazi/Communist-style National Identification Card, with all the scary attributes of an Internal Passport like people in Nazi/Communist-Europe were required to carry. Real ID Act created new bio-metric national standards for state-issued drivers' licenses. The new law also requires those who do not drive to have a state-issued "non-driver" ID card.

In May, 2011, Obama's Homeland Security and the Commerce Department will begin to amass a database on every American, something that they were previously forbidden from legally having. Abercrombie will comply with Obama.

In time, Real IDs could be required to vote, to fly, collect a Social Security check, access Medicaid, open a bank account, go to major sports, or buy a gun. The private sector could begin mandating a Real ID to perform countless commercial and financial activities, such as renting a DVD or buying car insurance. Ultimately, just as Social Security Cards were never supposed to be used for ID purposes, Real ID drivers' license will, very quickly, become de facto national ID cards, which is why people who don't drive will still need to carry one.

Not just the federal government, but states will be able to share 18 different points of private information about you, personally. The presumptions of "unreasonable search" are gone forever, since any semblance of real privacy ceases to exist when REAL ID goes into effect.

In 1998 the Clinton Administration tested such a privately-funded card without the consent or knowledge of Congress. Their card was part of a special healthcare program funded by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. The program was initiated in five western States and entailed giving women with dependent children free healthcare in the pilot project that actually tested the effectiveness of the biometric cards, which electronically monitored the whereabouts of the cardholder 24 hours a day, 7 days a week by GPS. The test lasted one year. It was deemed to be a success. Our federal government does have the ability, and the desire, to track the whereabouts of its human capital while they work, when they play, and where they sleep. REAL ID, in other words, is REAL Intrusive.

We must stop this STEALING of our personal identity. Tell every Member of Congress to REPEAL, RESCIND, or CUT THE FUNDING to implement REAL I.D. This is so important that, if we lose this one, personal liberty in the United States will have been lost, perhaps permanently! STOP this personal invasion of your privacy? YES, IDENTITY THEFT HAS ALREADY HAPPENED TO YOU---THANKS TO THE OBAMA / Abercrombie REGIME Dictators!

Will Abercrombie protect Hawaii ?

Probably not, as Abercrombie is a Democrap/Communist/Police State and Obama worshipper who speaks out of both sides of his mouth with lies.

Abercrombie's Hawaii Attorney General Supports Obama's UnConstitutional obamaCARE

Hawaii's governor Neil Abercrombie and his attorney general filing amicus briefs in support of Obama health care law that was already rules ultra vires.

Yep. Hell with the Constitutional right of the People to freedom of choice for health services is the apparent policy of Hawaii's governor and attorney general who are without our consent opposing a judge's ruling that the mandatory purchase requirement of the new healthcare law is unconstitutional.

In December, U.S. District Court Judge Henry E. Hudson struck down the individual mandate and all "directly dependent provisions".

Hudson ruled that "despite the laudable intentions of Congress in enacting a comprehensive and transformative health care regime, the legislative process must operate within constitutional bounds."

Abercrombie/Obama are enemies of the Constitutional limits on government. Obama above the law?

Honolulu Department of Planning and Permitting sent a letter to Glenn Weinberg of Maryland who owns the fancy Kailua house where Barack Obama has been staying when he visits Hawaii that rental of a property for less than 30 days is illegal---besides the security nightmare screwing up traffic and whole neighborhood---and the illegal rental will just have to stop. The planning director said his department will not issue a citation to Weinberg unless it proves he broke the law. If that happens, he could face a \$1,000 fine.

The 30 day requirement reduces the opportunity for non-permitted properties to be rented thereby preserving the "residential character" of the community and keeping these properties on the market for longterm rentals by residents.

So where will Obama and his family stay when the chill comes to Washington next winter? Bellows would be the ideal vacation spot. There is already a separate house for Admirals/Generals that could be used. Close by cabins could be used for support personnel. This would be less disruptive to the neighbors. Just take a helicopter to the Marine Corps Base to avoid traffic disruptions.

But then, why should Mr. "The ONE" respect the rental laws when he has show complete disregard for the Constitution for the United States of America?

see this video <http://www.brasschecktv.com/page/1011.html>

Obama's gangster Administration from Chicago to Nazis and Jew terrorists.

1. Michael Chertoff was part of prosecution team that helped blow the 1993 World Trade Center bombing investigation.
2. attorney Patrick Fitzgerald who was Chertoff's boss on the WTC non-investigation is now in Illinois prosecuting political opponents of Rahm Emanuel and Barack Obama
3. Rahm Emanuel's father was an Israeli terrorist who specialized in bombing buses and killing British troops in Palestine
4. Emanuel and Obama both used a Washington DC townhouse provided to them by a British Petrol [2010 spill] lobbyist when they were in Congress.
5. Barack Obama and Rahm Emanuel were members of the same gay bath house called Man Country in Chicago
6. A former staffer of the woman who rented Emanuel the townhouse just died...in a fire...in her garage...behind her house. Her husband is a top Obama staffer Dan Turton.
7. Larry Silverstein - leasee of the World Trade Center 9/11/01 collected \$3-B insurance for [terrorist attack] - is now the owner of the Chicago Sears Tower And that's just the tip of the iceberg. showing in this video !

If you ever wondered why Obama is carrying out the corporate-fascist agenda of the Bush family, you'll understand everything after watching this video.

video <http://www.brasschecktv.com/page/1011.html>

Does Washington policy today seem like a Bush Repeat ?


The Bush Family has controlled the White House since 1980. Two terms of a senile and "not interested in details" Reagan administration. One term of George Bush Sr, disgusted American people threw him out. Two terms of charming Bush criminal associate Bill Clinton. Two terms of (reformed) cokehead and alcoholic George Bush Jr.

And now Bush-O-bama whose administration is a seamless transition of the Bush agenda: more war, more corporate control, more corruption, back rooms.

If you're counting, that's THIRTY-ONE years of continuous Bush family control of the White House. Are you better off now? Is the country?

The juice behind the Royal Whitehouse family video: It will make you seriously wonder if the Nazi's won World War II.

Video: <http://www.brasschecktv.com/page/263.html>



Obama Exposed

21 Ways Barack Obama Is Radically Re-Shaping America In His Own Image

Grassfire Nation
contact George Peabody 808-558-8253

"Rebellion against tyrants is obedience to God." T.J. Guns Preserve YOUR Sovereignty ! Enforce the Bill of Rights!

The Moloka'i Advertiser-News



Susan
George
G & S Enterprises.....Publisher.....George Peabody.....Editor
email to MolokaiMAN@basicisp.net

Patriot-guerrilla journalism

web page: <http://www.MolokaiAdvertiserNews.com>
Phone: 558-8253.....Call The M.A.N. online
 Published Every Wednesday Made on Molokai for the World
 Subscriptions....FREE Online MolokaiAdvertiserNews.com
 Published Weekly. Founded in 1984...Contents © 2010 All Rights Reserved

Obama's Treason Against the Constitution for the United States of America is Resisted by Patriot Pledgers "The Mount Vernon Statement"

We recommit ourselves to the ideas of the American Founding. Through the Constitution, the Founders created an enduring framework of limited government based on the rule of law. They sought to secure national independence, provide for economic opportunity, establish true religious liberty and maintain a flourishing society of republican self-government.

These principles define us as a country and inspire us as a people. They are responsible for a prosperous, just nation unlike any other in the world. They are our highest achievements, serving not only as powerful beacons to all who strive for freedom and seek self-government, but as warnings to tyrants and despots everywhere.

Each one of these founding ideas is presently under sustained attack. In recent decades, America's principles have been undermined and redefined in our culture, our universities and our politics. The self-evident truths of 1776 have been supplanted by the notion that no such truths exist. The Obama federal government today ignores the limits of the Constitution, which is increasingly dismissed as obsolete and irrelevant.

Some insist that America must change, cast off the old and put on the new. But where would this lead -- forward or backward, up or down? Isn't this idea of Obama-change an empty promise or even a dangerous Obama-deception?

The change we urgently need, a change consistent with the American ideal, is not movement away from but toward our founding principles. At this important time, we need a restatement of Constitutional conservatism grounded in the priceless principle of ordered liberty articulated in the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution for the United States of America.

The conservatism of the Declaration asserts self-evident truths based on the laws of nature and nature's God. It defends life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. It traces authority to the consent of the governed. It recognizes man's self-interest but also his capacity for virtue.

The conservatism of the Constitution limits government's powers but ensures that government performs its proper job effectively. It refines popular will through the filter of representation. It provides checks and balances through the several branches of government and a federal republic.

A Constitutional conservatism unites all conservatives through the natural fusion provided by American principles. It reminds economic conservatives that morality is essential to limited government, social conservatives that unlimited government is a threat to moral self-government, and national security conservatives that energetic but responsible government is the key to America's safety and leadership role in the world.

A Constitutional conservatism based on first principles applies the principle of limited government based on the rule of law to every proposal; it honors the central place of individual liberty in American politics and life. It supports America's national interest in advancing freedom and opposing tyranny in the world and prudently considers what we can and should do to that end.

It informs conservatism's firm defense of family, neighborhood, community, and faith.

If we are to succeed in the critical political and policy battles ahead, we must be certain of our purpose. We must begin by retaking and resolutely defending the high ground of America's founding principles.




Every Wednesday

The M.A.N. gets local news we want to know about!

This is great news! Now we know why....

This is why EVERYONE reads The M.A.N.



Advertise in The M.A.N. and.....everybody, EVERYBODY, KNOWS !

Your Company is in good company with The M.A.N.



Bill of Rights 2nd Amendment:
“A well regulated militia being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed.”
Wake up militia! Use it , or lose it ! Got your gun, yet?

"...with a firm reliance on the protection of Divine Providence, we mutually pledge to each other our lives, or fortunes and our sacred honor."
 (Unanimous Declaration of Independence)

If you will not fight for the right when you can easily win without bloodshed, and, if you will not fight when your victory will be sure and not too costly, you may come to the moment when you will have to fight with all the odds against you and only a precarious chance of survival. There may even be a worse case. You may have to fight when there is no hope of victory, because it is better to perish than live as slaves.

"The people cannot delegate to government the power to do anything which would be unlawful for them to do themselves."- John Locke

"Those who make peaceful change impossible, make violent change inevitable." -- Robert F. Kennedy

"Rebellion against tyrants is obedience to God." T.J.

Enforce the Bill of Rights !

"And how we burned in the camps later, thinking: What would things have been like if every Security operative [insert your favorite alphabet Gang DEA, BATFE, H.S., TSA, FBI, IRS, NSA, CIA, LEOs etc. here], when he went out at night to make an arrest, had been uncertain whether he would return alive and had to say goodbye to his family? Or if, during periods of mass arrests, as for example in Leningrad, when they arrested a quarter of the entire city, people had not simply sat there in their lairs, paling in terror at every bang of the downstairs door and at every step on the staircase, but had understood they had nothing left to lose [neither do you now] and had boldly set up in the downstairs hall an ambush of half a dozen people with axes, hammers, pokers, or whatever else was at hand. The Organs would very quickly have suffered a shortage of officers and transports and, notwithstanding all of Stalin's thirst; the cursed machine would have ground to a halt!" -- The Gulag Archipelago, Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn

Ammo by Mail Order Ban Overturned

Court Grants NRA/CRPA Foundation Motion, Invalidates Unconstitutional Ammunition Regulation Statute That Would Have Banned Mail order Ammo Sales & Required Ammo Sales Registration 1-18-2011.

In a dramatic ruling giving gun owners a win in an National Rifle Association/California Rifle and Pistol (CRPA) Foundation lawsuit, Fresno Superior Court Judge Jeffrey Hamilton ruled that AB 962, the hotly contested statute that would have banned mail order ammunition sales and required all purchases of so called "handgun ammunition" to be registered, was unconstitutionally vague on its face. The Court enjoined enforcement of the statute, so mail order ammunition sales to California can continue unabated, and ammunition sales need NOT be registered under the law.

The lawsuit was prompted in part by the many objections and questions raised by confused police, ammunition purchasers, and sellers about what ammunition is covered by the new laws created by AB 962. In a highly unusual move that reflects growing law enforcement opposition to ineffective gun control laws, Tehama County Sheriff Clay Parker is the lead plaintiff in the lawsuit. Other plaintiffs include the CRPA Foundation, Herb Bauer Sporting Goods, ammunition shipper Able's Ammo, collectible ammunition shipper RTG Sporting Collectibles, and individual Steven Stonecipher. Mendocino Sheriff Tom Allman also supported the lawsuit.

The ruling comes just days before the portion of the law that bans mail order sales of so called "handgun ammunition" was set to take effect on February 1, 2011. The lawsuit, Parker v. California is funded exclusively by the NRA and the CRPA Foundation. If it had gone into effect, AB 962 would have imposed burdensome and ill conceived restrictions on the sales of ammunition. AB 962 required that "handgun ammunition" be stored out of the reach of customers, that ammunition vendors collect ammunition sales registration information and thumb-prints from purchasers, and conduct transactions face-to-face for all deliveries and transfers of "handgun ammunition."

The lawsuit alleged, and the Court agreed, that AB 962 is unconstitutionally vague on its face because it fails to provide sufficient legal notice of what ammunition cartridges are "principally for use in a handgun," and thus is considered "handgun ammunition" that is regulated under AB 962. It is practically impossible, both for those subject to the law and for those who must enforce it, to determine whether any of the thousands of different types of ammunition cartridges that can be used in handguns are actually "principally for use in" a handgun. The legislature itself is well aware of the vagueness problem with AB 962's definition of "handgun ammunition", and many other nonsensical infringements on ammunition sales to law abiding citizens.

"Rebellion against tyrants is obedience to God." T.J.
Guns Preserve YOUR Sovereignty !

Gun Rights Refresher

1. An armed man is a citizen. An unarmed man is a subject.
2. A gun in the hand is better than a cop on the phone.
3. If guns cause crime, then pencils cause misspelled words.
4. "Free" men do not ask permission to bear arms.
5. If you don't know your rights you don't have any.
6. Those who trade liberty for security have neither.
7. What part of "shall not be infringed" do you not understand?
8. The Second Amendment is to enforce the other 9 Amendments.
9. 64,999,987 firearms owners killed no one yesterday.
10. Guns only have two enemies; rust and politicians.
11. Know guns, know peace, know safety.
12. No guns, no peace, no safety.
13. You don't shoot to kill; you shoot to stay alive.
14. 911 - government sponsored Dial-a-Prayer.
15. Assault is a behavior, not a gun device.
16. Criminals love gun control -- it makes their jobs safer.
17. If guns cause crime, then matches cause arson.
18. Only a government that is afraid of citizens prohibits guns.
19. You only have the rights you are willing to fight for.
20. Remove the people's right to bear arms, you create slaves.
21. The American Revolution was about gun control.





Crimes of Politicians Must Be Stopped by The People (You are The Militia)

The crime on our streets is a tiny fraction of the crime in the offices of our politicians and bureaucrats - the massive majority of crime is committed by the criminal classes in power. Just look at the constant abuse of power in our State government offices and you will realize the extent of crime in Government. Just consider just how many BILLIONS of dollars a day Government extorts from the people and how little WE get in return; and just how comfortable the politicians make themselves at the expense of the peoples they extort!

The goal of the founders of America was to restrict government within severe limits and to protect the rights of sovereign individuals. Government has NO rights! Judges are impeachable. Furthermore, judges may be removed immediately for violating oaths of office, involvement in conspiracies, extortion, and failing to uphold their duty to the common law. Judges can also be arrested, they are not exempt from this nor are any other officials, including the President of the United States.

Do the people have the power to do this? Yes, the people have the power to do everything to defend our country against all enemies foreign or domestic politician, and government has no power to say otherwise. Fascist bureaucrats might send out its armed marauders, but a huge group of The People (Militia) armed with guns as guaranteed their Right to keep and bear arms by the 2nd Amendment is likely to stop them unless the governments decide that it is time to begin mass killings of all people who believe in the rights of Man.

Wake up ! Enforce the Bill of Rights !
 "A well regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free state, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed." Exercise the 2nd Amendment.

S A F E T Y !	 <p>Private Lessons; Livefire Practice</p>	 <p>M.O.M.'S Guns & Safety Training/ Education</p> <p>Shooting Range</p>	 <p>Militia Of Molokai SUPPORTS</p> <p>AGAINST ALL ENEMIES</p>	<p>Bill of Rights 2nd Amendment : "A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear arms, shall not be infringed."</p> <p>Use it or lose it ! ph. 558-8253 Join the club! N.R.A.</p>	F R E E D O M
	<p>Call 558-8253</p>				

Sovereign United States Citizens Sui juris \$100-k Action At Law vs Judge, IRS, Clerk

Honolulu resident Sam Houston Allred filed his Action At Law in UNITED STATES COURT, Honolulu, stating the laws that should protect him from theft and racketeering by IRS agents, federal judges, and court Clerks. His documents, including a DECLARATORY JUDGMENT for victim compensation of \$100,000, was then fraudulently and against Petitioner's rights, entered on the U.S.Court Docket by the Clerk Sue Beitia as CASE # CV 09-00335 "DAE-LEK". For trespassing on his case and obstructing justice, Federal judge DAVID ALAN EZRA, and Clerk SUE BEITIA, were added as Respondents along with P. Johnson, Fukekila Merrida, agents from the Internal Revenue Service, and Bank of America et al.

AMENDED DECLARATORY JUDGMENT: \$100,000.

Sam Houston Allred, appearing for Court, reaffirms and restates the first and initial Judgment of Law served, recorded and filed on July 17, 2009, for an amount exceeding fifty thousand lawful U.S. dollars (\$50,000+) in civil pecuniary compensation pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964, and requiring the forfeit of all property purchased with income from racketeering activity independent of the criminal penalty of twenty years incarceration for criminal acts under 18 U.S.C. § 1963 and adds to it the following statement of damages:

That persons employed by the United States Internal Revenue Service, and other persons employed by the United States government, under color of law foreign to the Constitution for the United States of America have stolen and carried away and denied the possession and use to Sam Houston Allred of his 160 acres of land at Clovis, New Mexico, and the proceeds from crops on that land, as well as fraudulent Notices of Levy, without a court order, against funds belonging to Sam Houston Allred; or colluded in the denial under color of law of Sam Houston Allred's Action at Law:

The Declaration of Judgment is hereafter amended to total one hundred thousand lawful U.S. dollars (\$100,000.00) payable by P. Johnson, Fukekila Merrida, Bank of America, David Alan Ezra, and Sue Beitia, and all other persons employed at the time of the crime, as well as those employees of the United States government who have made income from a pattern of racketeering activity directly or indirectly from the offenses committed against Sam Houston Allred. [See Memorandum of Law next column + Writ of Execution]

MEMORANDUM BRIEF OF LAW

The facts of the case for the Declaration Judgment are that Sam Houston Allred has filed and recorded judgment in the United States Court for the Hawaii Judicial District in Honolulu. More than twenty days have passed and the defendant respondents have neither refuted the complaint nor demanded jury trial.

WRIT OF EXECUTION

Petitioner Sam Houston Allred included the correct ruling by the United States Supreme Court which is excerpted by Editor regarding the rights of the Natural Physical Being:

Rundle v. Del. & Raritan Canal Co., 55 U.S. 80, 98, 14 L. Ed. 335 (1852) Mr. Justice Blackstone, in the 18th chapter of his 1st volume, holds this language: "We have hitherto considered persons in their natural capacities, and have treated of their rights and duties. But, as all personal rights die with the person; and,.... to have any particular rights kept on foot and continued, to constitute artificial persons, who maintain a perpetual succession, and enjoy a kind of legal immortality. These artificial persons are called corporations."

".....The rights of persons....are called simply rights; but when we consider the person from whom they are due, they are then denominated, duties...". In other words, government agents such as named Defendant judges, clerks, IRS agents et al, have duties to serve the rights of Sam Houston Allred.

Furthermore, Petitioner cited "The people are either aliens... or natives, that is, such as are born within it....It is in this acceptance only, therefore, that the term, citizen, in the article of the Constitution, can be received and understood. When distributing the judicial power, that article extends it to controversies between citizens of different States. This must mean the natural physical beings composing those separate communities, and can, by no violence of interpretation, be made to signify artificial, incorporeal, theoretical, and invisible creations. A corporation... cannot be a citizen of a State, or of the United States, and cannot fall within the terms or the power of the above-mentioned article, and can therefore neither plead nor be impleaded in the courts of the United States...An attorney for the plaintiff cannot admit evidence into the court. He is either an attorney or a witness," and, "Statements of counsel in brief or in argument are not facts before the court." (Trinsey v. Pagliaro D.C.Pa. 1964, 229 F. Supp. 647) This applies both with Federal Rules of Evidence and State Rules of Evidence.... there must be a competent first hand witness...a real person with an injury making the complaint and bringing evidence before the court. Corporations are paper and can't testify."

The TREASON BEGINS: Subsequent to that correct ruling, United States corporate monopolies either "threatened" or "rewarded" the U. S. Supreme Court justices so that in 1886, in the case of Santa Clara County v. Southern Pacific Railroad Company, the U.S. Supreme Court of Appeals decided that a private corporation is a person and entitled to the legal rights and protections the Constitution affords to any person. Because the Constitution for the United States of America makes no mention of corporations, it is a fairly clear case of the Court's taking it upon itself to rewrite the Constitution.

This doctrine of corporate personhood, which subsequently became a cornerstone of corporate law, was introduced into an 1886 decision without argument when Supreme Court Justice Morrison Remick Waite simply pronounced before the beginning of argument in the case that "The court does not wish to hear argument on the question whether the provision in the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution, which forbids a State to deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws, applies to these corporations. We are all of opinion that it does." The court reporter duly entered into the summary record of the Court's findings that "The defendant Corporations are persons within the intent of the clause in section 1 of the Fourteen Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, which forbids a State to deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws. Thus it was that a two-sentence assertion by a single judge elevated corporations to the status of persons under color of law, ultra vires, without Congressional process, and prepared the way for the rise of global corporate rule, and TREASON.

In the instant case at law, Petitioner Allred reports receiving a written order from Judge David Alan Ezra denying the right of Sam Houston Allred to file further documents in United States Court, and that lawful United States Citizen, Sam Houston Allred sui juris, is acting "pro se".

Petitioner, Sam Houston Allred counters that he is a United States Citizen, NOT a fictitious corporate entity, has brought this Action at Law Sui Juris (Latin: "one's own law") which is how the United States Citizens considered themselves in 1776 in the Unanimous Declaration and again in 1789, when they adopted the Constitution for the United States of America.

Sam Houston Allred reminded Judge Ezra, and all others claiming to represent the law under "color of law," that Judge Ezra is NOT "the Court" in United States Court. "The Court" is a lawful place to be used for the filing of petitions to correct injustices and proper lawful records. Also, Sam Houston Allred is acting lawfully "In Propria Persona", i.e., in his proper person.

Allred also applied the laws regarding the "filing fee," which is for members of the bar association monopoly and other fictitious corporate entities, who are paying for their privileges, (not exercising rights which belong solely to natural human beings) to use the United States Court for profit and color of law. The First Amendment to the Constitution for the United States expressly prohibits the use of "laws" to charge citizens a fee to establish truth and justice in United States Courts: "Congress shall make no law... abridging the... right of the people...to petition the Government for a redress of grievances."

On that Constitutional basis, Petitioner declared that no United States District Judge, under whatever "color of law" pontification, can prohibit the right of the people to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

This Action at Law by United States Citizen Sam Houston Allred can NOT be prohibited by any Judge, declared Allred. "That is fraud in any sense of the word, "fraud," and it is incumbent upon all who support the law to establish for the record such deceptive tactics. Judge Ezra is an attorney and is prohibited by 18 U. S. C., § 872 "Extortion by officers or employees of the United States.... imprisoned...." Even court rules 28 U.S.C. § 2072(b) regulating attorney practice state that rules shall not abridge, enlarge or modify any substantive right. All laws in conflict with such rules shall be of no further force or effect.

Therefore, it is the order of Petitioner and United States Citizen, Sam Houston Allred, that....the RESPONDENTS, and all of them collectively are ordered to compensate Sam Houston Allred in the amount in excess of one hundred thousand lawful United States dollars (\$100,000.00) in civil pecuniary compensation for his material losses and for the extortion committed subject to fine and imprisonment under the laws of the United States of America.

You Can Protect Freedom: Question Authority!

"Where Rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them." Miranda v Arizona, (1966)

Da Kine Ads SERVICES OFFERED

Architectural Drafting Office
Ph. 553-9045
email: luigis@aloha.net
LICENSED ARCHITECT
Rich Young - Custom homes
Portfolio available online at
www.richyoungarchitect.com
553-5992 ryoung@aloha.net

Pono Tree Trimming /remove
and chips: ph 558 8253
Instant/Tankless Water Heater
New and used, call "Mr. Pono"
Pono SolarPower ph. 558-8253
COLORADO 40 acres \$28,500!
Near mountains, Rio Grande River
and small town. Good road access,
fully surveyed. \$350 down, \$350
monthly. Call Owner 806-676-8690

2-bdrm house, newly renovated,
fully furnished. Carport, storage, laun-
dry \$1250/mo. min. 6 mos. Lease.
Non-smokers only. No pets. Last
house Seaside Place Koheo Wetland
koheo_kottages@yahoo.com or
phone 1-808-553-5992.

Freedom is not a spectator sport!
An armed man is a Citizen;
un-armed man is a subject.

T.S.A. REPORT

Statistics on Airport screening:	
Terrorist Plots Discovered	0
Transvestites	133
Hernias	1,485
Hemorrhoid Cases	3,172
Enlarged Prostates	8,249
Breast Implants	59,350
Natural Blondes	3

Freedom Is NOT FREE



Hot Water Heater Instantaneous Tankless DEPENDABLE SIMPLICITY

starting at only \$525 ENERGY EFFICIENT

\$300 Tax Credit 2008-2009

Simple to light pilot, and VERY energy efficient
Simple to install new, or to replace your old gas water heater!

NEW AND USED! SAVE BIG on your electric bill!

Call George Peabody: 558-8253



Three Unanswered Questions:

Was Barack Obama Born in Kenya?

Is He Really a Citizen of Indonesia?

Does the Constitution still Matter?

We the People

OBAMA IS A USURPER!

U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section 1, Clause 5 . . .

No person except a natural born Citizen, or a Citizen of the United States, at the time of the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be eligible to the Office of President; neither shall any person be eligible to that Office who shall not have attained to the Age of thirty five Years, and been fourteen Years a Resident within the United States.

DEFINITION

The natives, or natural-born citizens, are those born in the country, of parents [both] who are citizens.

Emer de Vattel, "The Law of Nations and Principles of Natural Law," Vol. 1, Chap. 19, Sec. 212



ILLEGAL!



"Citizen" of the U.S. if he was physically born in Hawaii, not born elsewhere and falsely registered as born there after the fact.



At birth, Barack Obama acquired dual citizenship through his British **non-U.S. citizen father**. Our Founders excluded dual citizens from eligibility to the Presidency for national security reasons. Every military order Barack Obama gives as Commander-in-Chief, every bill he signs into law, and every executive decision he makes while unconstitutionally seated in the Office of President, are unconstitutional, and hence illegal. Obama is NOT a "natural born Citizen" of the USA. The U.S. Courts and Congress MUST address the merits of the charges for this constitutional issue, and constitutionally remove Barack Obama in order to preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States. This is their sworn Oath to the Constitution and to the American people.

If you would like to help with this lawsuit, please contact Mario Apuzzo, Esq., 185 Gatzmer Avenue, Jamesburg, NJ 08831
Email: apuzzo@erols.com • TEL: 732-521-1900 • FAX: 732-521-3906 • BLOG: <http://puzo1.blogspot.com> • <http://www.protectourliberty.org>

Help Protect Our Liberty. Make a donation to this cause today — www.protectourliberty.org

Paid for by: American people donating funds at ProtectOurLiberty.org in support of the Kerchner et al v Obama & Congress et al lawsuit.

Graphic concept credit to 'Erica' at <http://jeffersonrebels.blogspot.com>. Used with permission.